



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/813,351	03/19/2001	Sidney T. Smith	CRTS-5679 (1417A P 450)	3473
7590	11/10/2004		EXAMINER	
Baxter Healthcare Corporation Corporate Research & Technical Services One Baxter Parkway DF3-3E Deerfield, IL 60015			PASCUA, JES F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/813,351	SMITH ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Jes F. Pascua	3727

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 August 2004.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 2,6-8,12,14,15,17-19,22,23,28-31,36-49 and 51-56 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 2,6-8,12,14,15,17-19,28-31,36-38,43,44 and 51-56 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 39-42 and 45-49 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 2, 6, 7, 8, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 22, 28, 30, 31, 52, 53, 54 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,988,422 to Vallot (previously cited). See Figs. 1 and 2.

It is brought to applicant's attention that the angle defined between the longitudinal edges 19, 19' and the tapered edges 17, 17', 18, 18' in Fig. 2 of Vallot is shown as being in the range from about 135.01° to about 138°, as claimed. Having met applicant's claimed range of angles in claims 17 and 18, the end panels of Vallot are inherently capable of extending outwardly from the sleeve beyond an imaginary plane when in the unfolded position shown in Fig. 2.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3727

4. Claims 36, 37, 38, 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vallot '422.

Vallot '422 discloses the claimed invention, especially all of the materials used to construct the Vallot '422 container and its accessories being "capable of withstanding exposure to radiation and other known sterilization techniques." See column 3, lines 46-50. However, Vallot does not disclose the port closure (i.e. "stopper") in sterile communication with the port (i.e. "chimneys 8"). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the stopper of Vallot '422 in sterile communication with the port of the container since it was known in the art to maintain the contents of bio-pharmaceutical containers in a sterile condition.

Regarding claims 43 and 44, the large diameter tube connector 10, small diameter tube connector 11 or 90° elbow connector 13 meet the structure of applicant's "vent closure" to the same degree as claimed.

Regarding claim 37, Vallot '422 discloses the claimed invention except for the communication member (i.e. a tube connecting to large diameter tube connector 10, small diameter tube connector 11 or 90° elbow connector 13) being about 6 ft. to about 30 ft. long. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to use a 6 ft. to 30 ft. tube for the communication member of Vallot '422, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Art Unit: 3727

5. Claims 23 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vallot '422.

Vallot '422 discloses the claimed invention except for the angle between the longitudinal edge 19, 19' and the tapered edge 17,17', 18, 18' being 136°. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the angle between the longitudinal edge 19, 19' and the tapered edge 17,17', 18, 18' of Vallot '422 136°, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

6. Claims 29 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vallot '422 and Sasaki et al.

Vallot '422 discloses the claimed device except for the top side of the container having a plurality of spaced-apart hanger connection locations. Sasaki et al. discloses that it is known in the art to provide a plurality of spaced-apart hanger connection locations 14. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the top side of the Vallot '422 container with the plurality of spaced-apart hanger connection locations of Sasaki et al., in order to permit the container to be suspended. Furthermore, the plurality of spaced-apart hanger connection locations 14 of Sasaki et al are shown as being positioned inward from an outer edge of the top side as claimed.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

7. Claims 39-42 and 45-49 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

***Response to Arguments***

8. Applicant's arguments filed 08/20/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that Vallot does not suggest a flexible container with an end segment having an additional amount of material which permits an end panel to extend beyond the plane defined by the fold line, a claim is anticipated if each element of the claim is found, either expressly described or under principles of inherency, in a single prior art reference, or that the claimed invention was previously known or embodied in a single prior art device or practice. In this case, Vallot shows the angle defined between the longitudinal edges 19, 19' and the tapered edges 17, 17', 18, 18' in Fig. 2 as overlapping applicant's claimed range of "135.01° to about 138°". Having met applicant's claimed range of angles in claims 17 and 18, Vallot inherently forms at least one end segment with an additional amount of material, which permits at least a portion of the end panel to extend outwardly from the sleeve beyond the imaginary plane when the end panel is in an unfolded position. Furthermore, applicant's disclosure lacks any evidence of unexpected results arising from the claimed narrow range.

Art Unit: 3727

***Conclusion***

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 703-308-1153. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703-308-2572. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Jes F. Pascua  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3727

JFP